

On the exact discretization of the classical harmonic oscillator equation

Jan L. Cieřliński*

Uniwersytet w Białymstoku, Wydział Fizyki

ul. Lipowa 41, 15-424 Białystok, Poland

Abstract

We discuss the exact discretization of the classical harmonic oscillator equation (including the inhomogeneous case and multidimensional generalizations) with a special stress on the energy integral. We present and suggest some numerical applications.

MSC 2000: 39A10; 65L12; 37M05; 65P10; 34K28

Key words and phrases: finite difference numerical schemes, exact discretizations, geometric numerical integration, classical harmonic oscillator, energy integral

*e-mail: janek@alpha.uwb.edu.pl

1 Introduction

By the exact discretization of an ordinary differential equation $\dot{x} = f(x)$, where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we mean the difference equation $X_{n+1} = F(X_n)$, where $X_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$, such that $X_n = x(t_n)$. Initial data coincide as well, because $X_0 = x(t_0)$. Exact discretizations have been first studied by Potts [28] and a detailed account of subsequent developments can be found in Agarwal's book [1]. It is worthwhile to point out that all linear ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients admit exact discretizations [1, 28].

In this paper we discuss exact discretizations for the harmonic oscillator and its various extensions, including multidimensional, damped and inhomogeneous cases. In other words, we consider the exact discretization of motions in a quadratic potential.

Discretizations are closely related to numerical finite difference schemes. Some numerical algorithms are known to integrate exactly the harmonic oscillator equation, e.g., the so-called Gautschi-type methods [13, 18, 30] and exponential integrators [22, 25, 27]. Recently, the problem of the exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator equation with the constant force turns out to be very important for the construction of new numerical algorithms ("locally exact numerical schemes" [9, 11, 12], see also Section 5.4) and this is our main motivation for studying the subject of exact discretizations.

2 Exact discretization of the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator

It is well known [1, 10, 28] that the discrete second-order linear equation

$$\frac{x_{n+1} - 2x_n + x_{n-1}}{\left(\frac{2}{\omega} \sin \frac{\varepsilon\omega}{2}\right)^2} + \omega^2 x_n = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

discretizes exactly the classical harmonic oscillator equation

$$\ddot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $x = x(t)$ and the dot denotes the derivative with respect to t . In other words, any solution x_n of (2.1) can be expressed as $x_n = x(\varepsilon n)$, where the function $t \rightarrow x(t)$ satisfies the equation (2.2) (the time step $t_{n+1} - t_n = \varepsilon$

is constant). Defining $v_n := \dot{x}(\varepsilon n)$ we derive the exact discretization of the velocity $\dot{x}(t)$

$$v_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n \cos \omega \varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon}{\omega}} , \quad (2.3)$$

compare [10] (see also below, where more general cases will be presented in detail).

2.1 Equivalent forms of the exact discretization

The exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator equation can be represented in several equivalent forms which are useful in various contexts. The simplest form, following immediately from (2.1), is

$$x_{n+1} - 2 \cos \omega \varepsilon x_n + x_{n-1} = 0 . \quad (2.4)$$

Equations similar to (2.4) can be found in [16] (Section 1.7, Examples 2 and 5) without mentioning their relations with exact discretizations.

Proposition 1. *The equation (2.1) can be represented in the following equivalent form:*

$$\frac{\left(\frac{x_{n+1} - x_n \cos \omega \varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon}{\omega}} \right) - \left(\frac{x_n - x_{n-1} \cos \omega \varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon}{\omega}} \right) \cos \omega \varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon}{\omega}} + \omega^2 x_{n-1} = 0 . \quad (2.5)$$

Proof: A simple straightforward calculation. □

Corollary 2. *Defining a new difference operator*

$$\Delta_\varepsilon := \frac{T - \cos \omega \varepsilon}{\omega^{-1} \sin \omega \varepsilon} , \quad (2.6)$$

where T is the shift operator (i.e., $(Tx)_n = x_{n+1}$), we can rewrite (2.5) as

$$\Delta_\varepsilon^2 x + \omega^2 x = 0 . \quad (2.7)$$

Note that in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the equation (2.1) assumes the form of the symmetric Euler finite difference scheme

$$\frac{x_{n+1} - 2x_n + x_{n-1}}{\varepsilon^2} + \omega^2 x_n = 0 , \quad (2.8)$$

while the equation (2.5) apparently tends to the forward Euler finite difference scheme:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{\varepsilon}\right) - \left(\frac{x_n - x_{n-1}}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\varepsilon} + \omega^2 x_{n-1} = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

(when $\cos \omega \varepsilon$ is approximated by 1). However, performing this limit with full care (i.e., leaving the second term $\frac{1}{2}\omega^2 \varepsilon^2$ in the Taylor expansion of $\cos \omega \varepsilon$) we also get (2.8).

The harmonic oscillator equation (2.2) can be represented in the Hamiltonian form

$$m\dot{x} = p, \quad \dot{p} = -kx , \quad (2.10)$$

where $\omega^2 = \frac{k}{m}$.

Proposition 3. *The exact discretization of (2.10) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(x_{n+1} - x_n)}{\delta} &= \frac{p_{n+1} + p_n}{2} , \\ \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\delta} &= -\frac{k(x_{n+1} + x_n)}{2} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{2}{\omega} \tan \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2} . \quad (2.12)$$

Proof: It is enough to show that the system (2.11), where $p_n = mv_n$, is equivalent to the system (2.3), (2.4). Solving (2.11) with respect to p_n and p_{n+1} , and then shifting index $n + 1 \rightarrow n$ in the first resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+1} &= \frac{m}{\delta}(x_{n+1} - x_n) - \frac{k\delta}{4}(x_{n+1} + x_n) , \\ p_n &= \frac{m}{\delta}(x_{n+1} - x_n) + \frac{k\delta}{4}(x_{n+1} + x_n) , \\ p_n &= \frac{m}{\delta}(x_n - x_{n-1}) - \frac{k\delta}{4}(x_n + x_{n-1}) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Eliminating p_n from the last two equations we get

$$\left(\frac{m}{\delta} + \frac{k\delta}{4}\right) x_{n+1} - 2\left(\frac{m}{\delta} - \frac{k\delta}{4}\right) x_n + \left(\frac{m}{\delta} + \frac{k\delta}{4}\right) x_{n-1} = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

which is equivalent to (2.4) if and only if

$$\cos \omega \varepsilon = \frac{4m - k\delta^2}{4m + k\delta^2}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{k\delta^2}{4m} = \tan^2 \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2}, \quad (2.15)$$

which is satisfied by virtue of (2.12). Substituting (2.12) and $k = m\omega^2$ into the middle equation of (2.13) we get

$$p_n = \frac{m\omega(x_{n+1} - x_n \cos \omega \varepsilon)}{\sin \omega \varepsilon}, \quad (2.16)$$

i.e., $p_n = mv_n$, where v_n is given by (2.3), which ends the proof. $\square$

Taking into account (2.16) and the first equation of (2.13) we can solve the system (2.11) with respect to x_{n+1}, p_{n+1} obtaining

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ p_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega \varepsilon & (m\omega)^{-1} \sin \omega \varepsilon \\ -m\omega \sin \omega \varepsilon & \cos \omega \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ p_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

2.2 Discrete analogues of the energy integral

The harmonic oscillator equation $\ddot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0$ has the following integral of motion

$$\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x^2 = E = \text{const}, \quad (2.18)$$

where E can be interpreted as the energy per a mass unit. Trying to find a conservation law for its discrete analogue, (2.1), one may consider the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(0)} &= x_{n+1}^2 - 2 \cos \omega \varepsilon x_n x_{n+1} + x_n^2, \\ E_n^{(1)} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{\frac{2}{\omega} \sin \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x_n x_{n+1}, \\ E_n^{(2)} &:= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_{n+1} - x_n \cos \omega \varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon}{\omega}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x_n^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_\varepsilon x_n)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x_n^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Proposition 4. *We assume that x_n satisfies (2.1). Then, $E_{n+1}^{(k)} = E_n^{(k)}$ for any $k = 0, 1, 2$ and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover,*

$$E_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{2 \sin \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2}} \right)^2 E_n^{(0)} , \quad (2.20)$$

$$E_n^{(2)} = \left(1 + \tan^2 \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2} \right) E_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{\sin \omega \varepsilon} \right)^2 E_n^{(0)} .$$

Proof: The identities (2.20) can be easily checked in a straightforward way. Therefore it is enough to show that $E_n^{(0)} = E_{n-1}^{(0)}$. We compute:

$$E_n^{(0)} - E_{n-1}^{(0)} = (x_{n+1} - x_{n-1})(x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} - 2 \cos \omega \varepsilon x_n) ,$$

which vanishes provided that (2.4) holds. $\square$

Therefore all three quantities defined by formulas (2.19) are integrals of motion, or, more precisely, they are different representations of the discrete analogue of the energy integral. In a sense these three forms of the energy integral correspond to the above three equivalent forms of the exact discrete harmonic oscillator equation. Namely, $E^{(0)}$ can be obtained as a quadratic integral of motion for (2.4). Then, $E^{(1)}$ is a natural guess in the spirit of Mickens' approach [24], strongly implied by the form (2.1). Finally, $E^{(2)}$ is the best discrete analogue of the energy integral. Indeed, having the exact discretization we expect that there exists a discrete analogue of this conservation law, i.e.,

$$E_n = \frac{1}{2} v_n^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x_n^2 \quad (2.21)$$

where v_n has to be defined. Note that the invariant $E^{(2)}$ is of the form (2.21) provided that v_n is defined by (2.3).

2.3 A family of geometric integrators

In this subsection we consider a family of discrete systems containing the exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator.

Proposition 5. *The discrete map $(x_n, p_n) \rightarrow (x_{n+1}, p_{n+1})$, defined by:*

$$x_{n+1} - \gamma x_n + x_{n-1} = 0 , \quad p_n = \alpha x_{n+1} - \beta x_n , \quad (2.22)$$

is both symplectic and energy-preserving for any choice of α, β, γ . If, moreover, the coefficients depend on the time step ε , satisfying constraints

$$\alpha(-\varepsilon) = -\alpha(\varepsilon) , \quad \gamma(\varepsilon) = \frac{\beta(\varepsilon) - \beta(-\varepsilon)}{\alpha(\varepsilon)} , \quad (2.23)$$

then the map is also symmetric (time-reversible).

Proof: We compute $p_{n+1} = \alpha(\gamma x_{n+1} - x_n) - \beta x_{n+1}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} dx_{n+1} \wedge dp_{n+1} &= -\alpha dx_{n+1} \wedge dx_n , \\ dx_n \wedge dp_n &= \alpha dx_n \wedge dx_{n+1} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus $dx_{n+1} \wedge dp_{n+1} = dx_n \wedge dp_n$ which means that the map is symplectic (and volume-preserving) [15]. An integral of the discrete evolution can be easily found by multiplying the first equation of (2.22) by $x_{n+1} - x_{n-1}$. Indeed,

$$0 = (x_{n+1} - \gamma x_n + x_{n-1})(x_{n+1} - x_{n-1}) \equiv x_{n+1}^2 - \gamma x_{n+1} x_n + \gamma x_n x_{n-1} - x_{n-1}^2 ,$$

which means that

$$x_{n+1}^2 - \gamma x_{n+1} x_n + x_n^2 = x_n^2 - \gamma x_n x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}^2 = \text{const} . \quad (2.24)$$

In order to find conditions for time-reversibility [15], we rewrite (2.22) as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ p_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = A(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ p_n \end{pmatrix} , \quad A(\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} & \frac{1}{\alpha} \\ \gamma\beta - \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{\alpha} & \gamma - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where $\alpha = \alpha(\varepsilon)$, $\beta = \beta(\varepsilon)$ and $\gamma = \gamma(\varepsilon)$. Computing A^{-1} we get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ p_n \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1}(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ p_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} , \quad A^{-1}(\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} & -\frac{1}{\alpha} \\ \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{\alpha} - \gamma\beta & \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Time-reversibility means that $A(-\varepsilon) = A^{-1}(\varepsilon)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(-\varepsilon) &= -\alpha(\varepsilon) , \\ \gamma(\varepsilon) - \frac{\beta(\varepsilon)}{\alpha(\varepsilon)} &= \frac{\beta(-\varepsilon)}{\alpha(-\varepsilon)} , \\ \gamma(\varepsilon)\beta(\varepsilon) - \frac{\alpha^2(\varepsilon) + \beta^2(\varepsilon)}{\alpha(\varepsilon)} &= \frac{\alpha^2(-\varepsilon) + \beta^2(-\varepsilon)}{\alpha(-\varepsilon)} - \gamma(-\varepsilon)\beta(-\varepsilon) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

The first two equations yield (2.23). The third equation is identically satisfied provided that the first two equations hold. $\square$

The maps defined by (2.22) contain a large family of geometric numerical integrators for the classical harmonic equation (2.2). Indeed, if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \alpha(\varepsilon) = m, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \beta(\varepsilon) = m, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{2 - \gamma(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{k}{m} \equiv \omega^2, \quad (2.26)$$

then the continuum limit of (2.22) (divided by ε^2) is given by $\ddot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0$ and $p = m\dot{x}$. In particular, the exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator is characterized by

$$\alpha(\varepsilon) = \frac{m\omega}{\sin \omega \varepsilon}, \quad \beta(\varepsilon) = m\omega \cot(\omega \varepsilon), \quad \gamma(\varepsilon) = 2 \cos \omega \varepsilon. \quad (2.27)$$

Corollary 6. *The exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator equation satisfies all assumptions of Proposition 5 and, therefore, is symplectic, volume-preserving, energy-preserving and time-reversible.*

3 Harmonic oscillator with a constant driving force

In this section we consider the exact discretization of the classical harmonic oscillator equation with a constant force:

$$\ddot{x} + \omega^2 x = g, \quad (3.1)$$

where ω and g are constant. This problem deserves special attention in the context of some numerical applications, see Section 5.

3.1 Variable time step

The general exact solution of the equation (3.1) and its derivative read

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= A \cos \omega t + B \sin \omega t + \frac{g}{\omega^2}, \\ \dot{x}(t) &= \omega B \cos \omega t - \omega A \sin \omega t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

We consider the exact discretization

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &:= A \cos(\omega t_n) + B \sin(\omega t_n) + \frac{g}{\omega^2} , \\ v_n &:= \omega B \cos \omega t_n - \omega A \sin \omega t_n . \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Proposition 7. *The exact discretization (with a variable time step) of the equation (3.1) is given by*

$$a_{n-1}x_{n+1} - b_n x_n + a_n x_{n-1} = \frac{g}{\omega^2} (a_n + a_{n-1} - b_n) , \tag{3.4}$$

where $a_n = \sin \omega \varepsilon_n$, $b_n = \sin \omega(\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n-1})$ and ε_n is a prescribed (variable) time step.

Proof: Assuming the variable time step, $t_{n+1} - t_n = \varepsilon_n$, and using (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= A \cos(\omega t_n + \omega \varepsilon_n) + B \sin(\omega t_n + \omega \varepsilon_n) + \frac{g}{\omega^2} , \\ x_{n-1} &= A \cos(\omega t_n - \omega \varepsilon_{n-1}) + B \sin(\omega t_n - \omega \varepsilon_{n-1}) + \frac{g}{\omega^2} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \left(x_n - \frac{g}{\omega^2}\right) \cos \omega \varepsilon_n + \sin \omega \varepsilon_n (B \cos \omega t_n - A \sin \omega t_n) + \frac{g}{\omega^2} , \\ x_{n-1} &= \left(x_n - \frac{g}{\omega^2}\right) \cos \omega \varepsilon_{n-1} - \sin \omega \varepsilon_{n-1} (B \cos \omega t_n - A \sin \omega t_n) + \frac{g}{\omega^2} , \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and adding the first equation multiplied by $\sin \omega \varepsilon_{n-1}$ to the second equation multiplied by $\sin \omega \varepsilon_n$ we get

$$\left(x_{n+1} - \frac{g}{\omega^2}\right) \sin \omega \varepsilon_{n-1} + \left(x_{n-1} - \frac{g}{\omega^2}\right) \sin \omega \varepsilon_n = \left(x_n - \frac{g}{\omega^2}\right) \sin(\omega \varepsilon_n + \omega \varepsilon_{n-1}) ,$$

which is equivalent to (3.4). $\square$

Comparing (3.3) and the first equation of (3.6), we obtain

$$v_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n \cos \omega \varepsilon_n - \frac{g}{\omega^2} (1 - \cos \omega \varepsilon_n)}{\frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon_n}{\omega}} , \tag{3.7}$$

which is the exact discretization of the velocity $\dot{x}$.

Proposition 8. *The exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator with a constant force (3.1) is given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ v_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega \varepsilon_n & \omega^{-1} \sin \omega \varepsilon_n \\ -\omega \sin \omega \varepsilon_n & \cos \omega \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} + \frac{g}{\omega^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \cos \omega \varepsilon_n \\ \omega \sin \omega \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.8)$$

where v_n is the exact discretization of the velocity $\dot{x}$ and the time step ε_n is variable.

Proof: From (3.3) we derive:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= (A \cos \omega t_n + B \sin \omega t_n) \cos \omega \varepsilon_n + (B \cos \omega t_n - A \sin \omega t_n) \sin \omega \varepsilon_n + \omega^{-2} g, \\ v_{n+1} &= -(A \omega \cos \omega t_n + B \omega \sin \omega t_n) \sin \omega \varepsilon_n + (B \omega \cos \omega t_n - A \omega \sin \omega t_n) \cos \omega \varepsilon_n, \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - \omega^{-2} g &= (x_n - \omega^{-2} g) \cos \omega \varepsilon_n + v_n \omega^{-1} \sin \omega \varepsilon_n, \\ v_{n+1} &= -(x_n - \omega^{-2} g) \omega \sin \omega \varepsilon_n + v_n \cos \omega \varepsilon_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

which is equivalent to (3.8). $\square$

Proposition 9. *The exact discretization of the equation (3.1) can be represented in the following form, equivalent to (3.8):*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{\delta_n} &= \frac{1}{2} (v_{n+1} + v_n), \\ \frac{v_{n+1} - v_n}{\delta_n} &= -\frac{1}{2} \omega^2 (x_{n+1} + x_n) + g, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\delta_n = \frac{2}{\omega} \tan \frac{\omega \varepsilon_n}{2}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof: Analogical to the proof of Proposition 3. $\square$

3.2 Constant time-step

The equation (3.4) simplifies in the case $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon = \text{const.}$ It is convenient to use the identity

$$a_n + a_{n-1} - b_n \equiv 4 \sin \frac{\omega(\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{n-1})}{2} \sin \frac{\omega\varepsilon_n}{2} \sin \frac{\omega\varepsilon_{n-1}}{2} . \quad (3.12)$$

Corollary 10. *The equation*

$$x_{n+1} - 2x_n \cos \omega\varepsilon + x_{n-1} = \frac{4g}{\omega^2} \sin^2 \frac{\omega\varepsilon}{2} \quad (3.13)$$

is the exact discretization of (3.1) with a constant time step ε .

Proposition 11. *The equation (3.13) can be rewritten in the following equivalent forms:*

$$\frac{x_{n+1} - 2x_n + x_{n-1}}{\left(\frac{2}{\omega} \sin \frac{\omega\varepsilon}{2}\right)^2} + \omega^2 x_n = g , \quad (3.14)$$

$$\frac{v_n - v_{n-1} \cos \omega\varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega\varepsilon}{\omega}} + \omega^2 x_{n-1} = \frac{g}{\cos^2 \frac{\omega\varepsilon}{2}} , \quad (3.15)$$

$$\frac{\left(v_n - \frac{g}{\omega} \tan \frac{\omega\varepsilon}{2}\right) - \left(v_{n-1} - \frac{g}{\omega} \tan \frac{\omega\varepsilon}{2}\right) \cos \omega\varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega\varepsilon}{\omega}} + \omega^2 x_{n-1} = g , \quad (3.16)$$

where v_n is defined by (2.3).

3.3 Discrete analogues of the energy integral

The energy integral for the equation (3.1) is given by

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x^2 - gx . \quad (3.17)$$

Proposition 12. *Assuming that x_n satisfies (3.13) we define:*

$$E_n^{(0)} = x_{n+1}^2 - 2 \cos \omega\varepsilon x_n x_{n+1} + x_n^2 - (x_n + x_{n+1}) \left(\frac{2 \sin \frac{\omega\varepsilon}{2}}{\omega}\right)^2 g, \quad (3.18)$$

$$E_n^{(1)} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{\frac{2}{\omega} \sin \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x_n x_{n+1} - \frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2} g, \quad (3.19)$$

$$E_n^{(2)} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_{n+1} - x_n \cos \omega \varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon}{\omega}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x_n^2 - \frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2 \cos^2 \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2}} g, \quad (3.20)$$

$$E_n^{(3)} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_{n+1} - x_n \cos \omega \varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon}{\omega}} - \frac{g}{\omega} \tan \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 x_n^2 - g x_n. \quad (3.21)$$

Then, $E_{n+1}^{(k)} = E_n^{(k)}$ for any $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{2 \sin \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2}} \right)^2 E_n^{(0)}, \\ E_n^{(2)} &= \left(1 + \tan^2 \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2} \right) E_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{\sin \omega \varepsilon} \right)^2 E_n^{(0)}, \\ E_n^{(3)} &= E_n^{(2)} + \left(\frac{g}{\omega} \tan \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2} \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Proof: The identities (3.22) can be easily verified. Therefore it is enough to show that $E_n^{(0)} = E_{n-1}^{(0)}$. We compute:

$$E_n^{(0)} - E_{n-1}^{(0)} = (x_{n+1} - x_{n-1}) \left(x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} - 2 \cos \omega \varepsilon x_n - \frac{4g}{\omega^2} \sin^2 \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2} \right),$$

which vanishes provided that (3.13) holds. $\square$

Therefore all four quantities defined in Proposition 12 can be interpreted as discrete analogues of the energy integral. They correspond to different equivalent forms of the exact discrete harmonic oscillator equation with a constant driving force. In particular, $E^{(1)}$ seems to be natural in the framework of Mickens' approach [24]. However, the best discrete analogue of the energy integral is given by $E^{(3)}$. Indeed, $E^{(3)}$ is of the form (3.17) evaluated at $x = x_n$ and $\dot{x} = v_n$, where

$$v_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n \cos \omega \varepsilon}{\frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon}{\omega}} - \frac{g}{\omega} \tan \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2}, \quad (3.23)$$

compare (3.7).

3.4 Damped harmonic oscillator

The damped harmonic oscillator with a constant driving force

$$\ddot{x} = -\omega_0^2 x - 2\gamma\dot{x} - g \quad (3.24)$$

can be reduced to the harmonic oscillator without damping.

Proposition 13. *The transformation*

$$X = e^{\gamma t} (x - x_e) \quad , \quad (3.25)$$

where $x_e = -\omega_0^{-2}g$, reduces (3.24) to the harmonic oscillator equation

$$\ddot{X} + \omega^2 X = 0 \quad , \quad \omega^2 = \omega_0^2 - \gamma^2 \quad . \quad (3.26)$$

Proof: Straightforward computation. $\square$

Denoting $p = m\dot{x}$ and $P = m\dot{X}$ we express P in terms of x, p , namely:

$$P = e^{\gamma t} (p + m\gamma(x - x_e)) \quad . \quad (3.27)$$

Therefore, the exact discretization of the equation (3.24) in terms of variables X, P is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{n+1} \\ P_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega \varepsilon & (m\omega)^{-1} \sin \omega \varepsilon \\ -m\omega \sin \omega \varepsilon & \cos \omega \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_n \\ P_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.28)$$

(compare (2.17)). Finally, substituting

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= e^{\gamma t_n} (x_n - x_e) \quad , \\ P_n &= e^{\gamma t_n} (p_n + m\gamma(x_n - x_e)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

into (3.28) we obtain the final corollary.

Corollary 14. *The exact discretization of the damped harmonic oscillator equation with the constant driving force (3.24) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= x_e + e^{-\gamma \varepsilon} \left((x_n - x_e) \left(\cos \omega \varepsilon + \frac{\gamma}{\omega} \sin \omega \varepsilon \right) + \frac{\sin \omega \varepsilon}{\omega} p_n \right) , \\ p_{n+1} &= e^{-\gamma \varepsilon} \left(p_n \left(\cos \omega \varepsilon - \frac{\gamma}{\omega} \sin \omega \varepsilon \right) - \left(\omega + \frac{\gamma^2}{\omega} \right) (x_n - x_e) \sin \omega \varepsilon \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

4 Exact discretization of the multidimensional harmonic oscillator equation

In this section we consider the equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \Omega^2 x = f(t) , \quad (4.1)$$

where $x = x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, Ω^2 is a given invertible constant $n \times n$ matrix and $f = f(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a given driving force. This is a natural n -dimensional extension of the harmonic oscillator equation. Indeed, if $f = 0$ and Ω^2 has n pairwise different eigenvalues, then the equation (4.2) describes n independent one-dimensional harmonic oscillators.

Here, in contrast to previous sections, we try to use the variable time-step whenever possible.

4.1 Multidimensional harmonic oscillator

We begin with the case $f(t) \equiv 0$, i.e.,

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \Omega^2 x = 0 . \quad (4.2)$$

It is convenient to represent (4.2) as the following first order system

$$\dot{x} = v , \quad \dot{v} = -\Omega^2 x . \quad (4.3)$$

The general exact solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= e^{i\Omega t} c_1 + e^{-i\Omega t} c_2 , \\ v(t) &= i\Omega (e^{i\Omega t} c_1 - e^{-i\Omega t} c_2) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where c_1, c_2 are constant n -vectors.

The exact discretization of the equation (4.2) is given by $x_n := x(t_n)$, where we use (4.4). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= e^{it_n\Omega} c_1 + e^{-it_n\Omega} c_2 , \\ v_n &= i\Omega (e^{it_n\Omega} c_1 - e^{-it_n\Omega} c_2) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Hence

$$e^{it_n\Omega}c_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x_n - i\Omega^{-1}v_n) , \quad e^{-it_n\Omega}c_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + i\Omega^{-1}v_n) , \quad (4.6)$$

and, denoting $\varepsilon_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$ (the time step, in general variable), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= e^{it_n\Omega+i\varepsilon_n\Omega}c_1 + e^{-it_n\Omega-i\varepsilon_n\Omega}c_2 , \\ v_{n+1} &= i\Omega (e^{it_n\Omega+i\varepsilon_n\Omega}c_1 - e^{-it_n\Omega-i\varepsilon_n\Omega}c_2) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Substituting (4.6) into (4.7) we obtain:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ v_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Omega\varepsilon_n & \Omega^{-1} \sin \Omega\varepsilon_n \\ -\Omega \sin \Omega\varepsilon_n & \cos \Omega\varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} , \quad (4.8)$$

where we use a natural matrix notation (the matrix entries and vector components are $n \times n$ matrices). In particular, we have:

$$v_n = \Omega(\sin \Omega\varepsilon_n)^{-1} (x_{n+1} - (\cos \Omega\varepsilon_n)x_n) . \quad (4.9)$$

The matrix on the right-hand side of (4.8) is an even function of Ω , i.e., this matrix can be expressed as a series in terms of Ω^2 (without explicit knowledge of Ω).

Corollary 15. *The exact discretization of the system (4.3) is given by (4.8), where ε_n is an arbitrary variable time step (in particular, we can take $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon = \text{const}$).*

Below we present three propositions. The proofs are omitted because in Section 4.2 we will prove more general theorems.

Proposition 16. *The exact discretization (4.8) of the multi-dimensional harmonic oscillator equation (4.2) is equivalent to the system*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n^{-1} (x_{n+1} - x_n) &= \frac{1}{2} (v_{n+1} + v_n) , \\ \delta_n^{-1} (v_{n+1} - v_n) &= -\frac{1}{2}\Omega^2 (x_{n+1} + x_n) \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\delta_n = 2\Omega^{-1} \tan \frac{\Omega\varepsilon_n}{2} . \quad (4.11)$$

Proposition 17. *If the time step is constant ($\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon$), then the exact discretization (4.8) of the multi-dimensional harmonic oscillator equation (4.2) is equivalent to the system*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - 2(\cos \Omega \varepsilon) x_n + x_{n-1} &= 0 , \\ v_n &= \Omega(\sin \Omega \varepsilon)^{-1} (x_{n+1} - (\cos \Omega \varepsilon)x_n) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Proposition 18. *If v_n is defined by (4.9) and $\Omega^T = \Omega$, then*

$$I_n := \frac{1}{2}|v_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle x_n | \Omega^2 x_n \rangle \quad (4.13)$$

is an integral of motion of the discrete multidimensional harmonic oscillator equations (4.10) (i.e., $I_{n+1} = I_n$).

Here (and below) $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$ denotes a scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $|\phi|^2 = \langle \phi | \phi \rangle$ and Ω^T is the transpose of Ω . We recall that

$$\langle M\phi | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | M^T \psi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle \quad (4.14)$$

for any matrix M and any vectors $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, it is worthwhile to point out the obvious fact that Ω , δ_n , $\sin \Omega \varepsilon_n$ (and other analytic functions of Ω) pairwise commute. We use frequently this property.

4.2 Multidimensional harmonic oscillator with a constant driving force

We consider the equation

$$\frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} + \Omega^2 x = a , \quad (4.15)$$

where $x = x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and Ω is a given invertible constant $n \times n$ matrix and $a = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is convenient to represent (4.15) as the following first order system

$$\dot{x} = v , \quad \dot{v} = -\Omega^2 x + a . \quad (4.16)$$

Its general exact solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= e^{i\Omega t} c_1 + e^{-i\Omega t} c_2 + \Omega^{-2} a , \\ v(t) &= i\Omega (e^{i\Omega t} c_1 - e^{-i\Omega t} c_2) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where c_1, c_2 are constant n -vectors.

The exact discretization of the equation (4.15) is given by $x_n := x(t_n)$, where we use (4.17). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x_n &= e^{it_n\Omega} c_1 + e^{-it_n\Omega} c_2 + \Omega^{-2} a , \\ v_n &= i\Omega (e^{it_n\Omega} c_1 - e^{-it_n\Omega} c_2) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it_n\Omega} c_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (x_n - \Omega^{-2} a - i\Omega^{-1} v_n) , \\ e^{-it_n\Omega} c_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (x_n - \Omega^{-2} a + i\Omega^{-1} v_n) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Denoting $\varepsilon_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$ (the time step, in general variable) and evaluating (4.18) at $n+1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= e^{it_n\Omega + i\varepsilon_n\Omega} c_1 + e^{-it_n\Omega - i\varepsilon_n\Omega} c_2 + \Omega^{-2} a , \\ v_{n+1} &= i\Omega (e^{it_n\Omega + i\varepsilon_n\Omega} c_1 - e^{-it_n\Omega - i\varepsilon_n\Omega} c_2) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Proposition 19. *The exact discretization of the system (4.16) is given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ v_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Omega \varepsilon_n & \Omega^{-1} \sin \Omega \varepsilon_n \\ -\Omega \sin \Omega \varepsilon_n & \cos \Omega \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2\Omega^{-2} \sin^2 \frac{\Omega \varepsilon_n}{2} a \\ \Omega^{-1} \sin \Omega \varepsilon_n a \end{pmatrix} , \quad (4.21)$$

where ε_n is an arbitrary variable time step (in particular, we can take $\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon = \text{const}$).

Proof: It is enough to substitute (4.19) into (4.20). □

In particular,

$$v_n = \Omega (\sin \Omega \varepsilon_n)^{-1} (x_{n+1} - (\cos \Omega \varepsilon_n) x_n) - \Omega^{-1} \tan \frac{\Omega \varepsilon_n}{2} a . \quad (4.22)$$

Proposition 20. *The exact discretization (4.21) of the multi-dimensional harmonic oscillator equation with the constant driving force (4.16) is equivalent to the system*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_n^{-1}(x_{n+1} - x_n) &= \frac{1}{2}(v_{n+1} + v_n) , \\ \delta_n^{-1}(v_{n+1} - v_n) &= -\frac{1}{2}\Omega^2(x_{n+1} + x_n) + a ,\end{aligned}\tag{4.23}$$

where δ_n is given by (4.11).

Proof: From (4.21) we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}x_{n+1} + x_n &= (1 + \cos \Omega \varepsilon_n)x_n + (\Omega^{-1} \sin \Omega \varepsilon_n)v_n + (1 - \cos \Omega \varepsilon_n)\Omega^{-2}a , \\ x_{n+1} - x_n &= -(1 - \cos \Omega \varepsilon_n)x_n + (\Omega^{-1} \sin \Omega \varepsilon_n)v_n + (1 - \cos \Omega \varepsilon_n)\Omega^{-2}a , \\ v_{n+1} + v_n &= -(\Omega \sin \Omega \varepsilon_n)x_n + (1 + \cos \Omega \varepsilon_n)v_n + \Omega^{-1} \sin \Omega \varepsilon_n a , \\ v_{n+1} - v_n &= -(\Omega \sin \Omega \varepsilon_n)x_n - (1 - \cos \Omega \varepsilon_n)v_n + \Omega^{-1} \sin \Omega \varepsilon_n a .\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega \sin \frac{\Omega \varepsilon_n}{2}(x_{n+1} + x_n) + \cos \frac{\Omega \varepsilon_n}{2}(v_{n+1} - v_n) &= 2\Omega^{-1} \sin \frac{\Omega \varepsilon_n}{2} a , \\ \Omega \cos \frac{\Omega \varepsilon_n}{2}(x_{n+1} - x_n) &= \sin \frac{\Omega \varepsilon_n}{2}(v_{n+1} + v_n) ,\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

which is equivalent to (4.23). $\square$

Proposition 21. *If the time step is constant ($\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon$), then the exact discretization (4.21) of the multidimensional harmonic oscillator with a constant force can be rewritten in the following equivalent form*

$$\begin{aligned}x_{n+1} - 2(\cos \Omega \varepsilon) x_n + x_{n-1} &= \left(2\Omega^{-1} \sin \frac{\Omega \varepsilon}{2}\right)^2 a , \\ v_n &= \Omega(\sin \Omega \varepsilon)^{-1}(x_{n+1} - (\cos \Omega \varepsilon)x_n) - \Omega^{-1} \tan \frac{\Omega \varepsilon}{2} a .\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

Another convenient expression for the velocity v_n is given by:

$$v_n = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\sin \Omega \varepsilon)^{-1}(x_{n+1} - x_{n-1}) .\tag{4.27}$$

Proof: From (4.21) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{-1}(\sin \Omega \varepsilon) v_n &= (x_{n+1} - (\cos \Omega \varepsilon) x_n) - \Omega^{-1} \tan \frac{\Omega \varepsilon}{2} a , \\ v_n &= -\Omega \sin \Omega \varepsilon x_{n-1} + \cos \Omega \varepsilon v_{n-1} + \Omega^{-1} \sin \Omega \varepsilon a , \\ v_{n-1} &= \Omega(\sin \Omega \varepsilon)^{-1} (x_n - (\cos \Omega \varepsilon) x_{n-1}) - \Omega^{-1} \tan \frac{\Omega \varepsilon}{2} a .\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

Thus we derived the formula (4.26) for v_n . Eliminating v_n and v_{n-1} from the system (4.28) we get the first equation of (4.26). In order to obtain the formula (4.27) we evaluate (4.18) at $n \pm 1$

$$x_{n\pm 1} = e^{it_n \Omega \pm i \varepsilon_n \Omega} c_1 + e^{-it_n \Omega \mp i \varepsilon_n \Omega} c_2 + \Omega^{-2} a ,\tag{4.29}$$

and compute

$$\frac{1}{2} (x_{n+1} - x_{n-1}) = i e^{t_n \Omega} (\sin \varepsilon_n \Omega) c_1 - i e^{-it_n \Omega} (\sin \varepsilon_n \Omega) c_2 .\tag{4.30}$$

Taking into account the second equation of (4.18) we complete the proof. $\square$

Proposition 22. *If $\Omega^T = \Omega$ and v_n is defined by (4.22), then*

$$I_n := \frac{1}{2} |v_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle x_n | \Omega^2 x_n \rangle - \langle a | x_n \rangle\tag{4.31}$$

is an integral of motion (i.e., $I_{n+1} = I_n$) of the discrete multidimensional harmonic oscillator equations (4.23).

Proof: From (4.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned}v_{n+1} + v_n &= 2\delta_n^{-1} (x_{n+1} - x_n) , \\ v_{n+1} - v_n &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta_n \Omega^2 (x_{n+1} + x_n) + \delta_n a .\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

In order to prove $I_{n+1} = I_n$ it is enough to multiply the above equations side by side (using the scalar product and its properties). $\Omega^T = \Omega$ obviously implies $\delta_n^T = \delta_n$. Taking also into account (4.14), we verify that

$$\langle \delta_n^{-1} x_{n+1} | \delta_n \Omega^2 x_n \rangle = \langle \delta_n^{-1} x_n | \delta_n \Omega^2 x_{n+1} \rangle .\tag{4.33}$$

Therefore, multiplying (4.32) side by side, we get

$$|v_{n+1}|^2 - |v_n|^2 = \langle \delta_n^{-1} x_{n+1} | \delta_n \Omega^2 x_{n+1} \rangle - \langle \delta_n^{-1} x_n | \delta_n \Omega^2 x_n \rangle + 2 \langle x_{n+1} - x_n | a \rangle .\tag{4.34}$$

Hence, applying once more (4.14), we get $I_{n+1} = I_n$. $\square$

4.3 Multidimensional harmonic oscillator with a polynomial driving force

In this section we consider the harmonic oscillator perturbed by an arbitrary polynomial driving force:

$$\ddot{x} + \Omega^2 x = f(t) , \quad f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^N c_k t^k , \quad (4.35)$$

where $x = x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $c_N \neq 0$ and Ω^2 is a constant invertible matrix.

The key observation is that the general solution to (4.35) can be represented in the form

$$x(t) = (\cos \Omega t)A + (\sin \Omega t)B + \Phi(t) , \quad (4.36)$$

where $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$\Phi(t) := \Omega^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\Omega^{-2})^k f^{(2k)}(t) . \quad (4.37)$$

One can easily see that the infinite sum contains only a finite number, $[N/2]$, of non-zero terms and $\Phi(t)$ is a polynomial of N th order. Moreover,

$$v(t) \equiv \dot{x}(t) = \Omega(\cos \Omega t)B - \Omega(\sin \Omega t)A + \dot{\Phi}(t) . \quad (4.38)$$

Proposition 23. *The exact discretization of (4.35) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} - 2 \cos \Omega \varepsilon x_n + x_{n-1} &= \Phi_{n+1} - 2(\cos \Omega \varepsilon)\Phi_n + \Phi_{n-1} , \\ v_n &= \frac{1}{2}\Omega(\sin \Omega \varepsilon)^{-1} (x_{n+1} - x_{n-1} - \Phi_{n+1} + \Phi_{n-1}) + \dot{\Phi}_n , \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

where $\Phi_n = \Phi(n\varepsilon)$, $\dot{\Phi}_n = \dot{\Phi}(n\varepsilon)$ and $\Phi(t)$ is defined by (4.37).

Proof: Computing $x(t \pm \varepsilon)$ and expressing A, B in terms of $x, v, \Phi, \dot{\Phi}$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} x(t \pm \varepsilon) &= (\cos \Omega \varepsilon)((x(t) - \Phi(t)) \pm \Omega^{-1}(\sin \Omega \varepsilon)(v(t) - \dot{\Phi}(t)) + \Phi(x \pm \varepsilon), \\ x(t + \varepsilon) + x(t - \varepsilon) &= 2(\cos \Omega \varepsilon)(x(t) - \Phi(t)) + \Phi(t + \varepsilon) + \Phi(t - \varepsilon) , \\ x(t + \varepsilon) - x(t - \varepsilon) &= 2\Omega^{-1}(\sin \Omega \varepsilon)(v(t) - \dot{\Phi}(t)) + \Phi(t + \varepsilon) - \Phi(t - \varepsilon) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

Now, identifying $t \rightarrow n\varepsilon$, $x(n\varepsilon) \rightarrow x_n$, $\Phi(n\varepsilon) \rightarrow \Phi_n$, we obtain the exact discretization of the perturbed harmonic oscillator equation (4.39). $\square$

In the particular case $f(t) \equiv a = \text{const}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t) &= \Omega^{-2}f = \Omega^{-2}a, \\ \Phi_{n+1} - 2\cos\Omega\varepsilon\Phi_n + \Phi_{n-1} &= 2(1 - \cos\Omega\varepsilon)\Omega^{-2}a.\end{aligned}\tag{4.41}$$

Therefore, in this case (4.39) reduces to (4.26).

Analogical considerations can be made for any other perturbation (driving force) $f = f(t)$ such that the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\Omega^{-2})^k f^{2k}(t)\tag{4.42}$$

is finite or summable. For instance, in some cases we get geometric series. If $f(t) = e^{\alpha t}f_0$, ($\alpha = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}$), then

$$\Phi(t) = \Omega^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\alpha^2 \Omega^{-2})^k e^{\alpha t} f_0 = (\Omega^2 + \alpha^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} e^{\alpha t} f_0,\tag{4.43}$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the unit matrix in $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $f(t) = f_0 \sin \omega t$, ($\omega = \text{const} \in \mathbb{R}$), then

$$\Phi(t) = \Omega^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\omega^2 \Omega^{-2})^k (\sin \omega t) f_0 = (\Omega^2 - \omega^2 \mathbb{I})^{-1} e^{\alpha t} f_0.\tag{4.44}$$

Using the linearity of (4.37) one can extend these results on finite Fourier series, finite linear combination of exponential functions or some specific combinations of polynomials, exponentials and trigonometric functions. Similar results were first obtained (in a different way) a long time ago [2, 3].

5 Connections with numerical methods

In order to produce an exact discretization we have to know corresponding exact solutions and in such cases numerical schemes seem to be of a little practical use. It turns out, however, that exact discretizations can be applied to construct good numerical schemes which are exact in some particular cases.

5.1 Gautschi-type methods

The exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator is of great importance for a large class of numerical methods (Gautschi-type methods) [13, 18]. In order to illustrate this idea we consider the following class of equations:

$$\ddot{x} = -\omega^2 x + g(x) , \quad (5.1)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and the nonlinear term $g(x)$ is assumed to be much smaller than the linear term $\omega^2 x$. The simplest Gautschi method is defined as [13, 15]:

$$x_{n+1} - 2(\cos \omega \varepsilon)x_n + x_{n-1} = \left(\frac{2}{\omega} \sin \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2} \right)^2 g(x_n) . \quad (5.2)$$

One can easily see that for $g(x) = \text{const}$ the Gautschi method [13] reduces to the exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator with a constant driving force, compare (3.13). In other cases the Gautschi method ceased to be exact but is still considered as one of the best for oscillatory problems with constant high frequencies [15, 18].

5.2 Exponential integrators

Exponential integrators [25, 27] are also concerned with exact discretizations. We confine ourselves to equations of the form

$$\dot{y} = Ly + g(y) , \quad (5.3)$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, L is a constant matrix and $g(y)$ is a small nonlinear term. We consider three exponential integrators which are extensions of the classical Euler method: the explicit Euler-Lawson scheme

$$y_{n+1} = e^{\varepsilon L} (y_n + \varepsilon g(y_n)) , \quad (5.4)$$

the implicit Euler-Lawson scheme

$$y_{n+1} = e^{\varepsilon L} y_n + \varepsilon g(y_{n+1}) , \quad (5.5)$$

and the exponential Euler method:

$$y_{n+1} = e^{\varepsilon L} y_n + \varepsilon \varphi_1(\varepsilon L) g(y_n) , \quad (5.6)$$

where $\varphi_1(z) := (e^z - 1)/z$. The equation (3.1) obviously can be represented in the form (5.3):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\omega^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.7)$$

where $g = \text{const}$. Applying the above exponential integrators to (5.7) we get, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ v_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega \varepsilon & \omega^{-1} \sin \omega \varepsilon \\ -\omega \sin \omega \varepsilon & \cos \omega \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\varepsilon g}{\omega} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \omega \varepsilon \\ \omega \cos \omega \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} \\ v_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega \varepsilon & \omega^{-1} \sin \omega \varepsilon \\ -\omega \sin \omega \varepsilon & \cos \omega \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varepsilon g \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1} - \omega^{-2} g \\ v_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega \varepsilon & \omega^{-1} \sin \omega \varepsilon \\ -\omega \sin \omega \varepsilon & \cos \omega \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_n - \omega^{-2} g \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

We see that in the case $g = 0$ (the harmonic oscillator without the driving force) all three integrators yield the exact discretization (2.17). In the case $g \neq 0$ only the exponential Euler scheme (5.6) is exact, compare (3.8).

5.3 Application to the Kepler motion

Sometimes considered equations reduce to the harmonic oscillator (or contain the harmonic oscillator equation). Then we may take advantage of using the exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator. Here we shortly describe the case of the classical Kepler problem

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{k\mathbf{r}}{r^3}, \quad \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad r = |\mathbf{r}|, \quad (5.9)$$

where m, k are constant. It is well known (see, for instance, [15, 29]), that exact trajectories are given by $r = r(\varphi)$, where φ is the angle swept out by $\mathbf{r}$ and $1/r$ solves the so called Binet equation (equivalent to the harmonic oscillator equation with the constant driving force):

$$\frac{d^2 u}{d\varphi^2} + u = \frac{km}{L^2}, \quad u = \frac{1}{r}, \quad (5.10)$$

where $L = |\mathbf{r} \times m\dot{\mathbf{r}}| = \text{const}$ (the angular momentum) is an integral of motion. Using the exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator equation (5.10) we

get a numerical scheme such that $\varphi_{n+1} - \varphi_n = \text{const}$ (but the time step is variable) [7].

It is also well known [20] that the Kepler problem can be reduced to the 4-dimensional harmonic oscillator equation

$$\frac{d^2 Q}{ds^2} - \frac{E}{2} Q = 0, \quad Q = Q(s) \in \mathbb{R}^4, \quad (5.11)$$

where E is the energy integral of the considered Kepler motion. Having Q and s we can find $\mathbf{r}$ (explicitly expressed in terms of Q) and t (integrating the equation $t' = |Q|^2$), for details and precise formulas see, for instance, [19, 20, 26].

However, the possibility of using the exact discretization has been often overlooked. First, Minesaki and Nakamura [26] discretized the harmonic oscillator equation (5.11) by the discrete gradient method [14, 21]. The obtained discretization was quite good (the exact trajectories were preserved) but not exact. Then, Kozlov [19] succeeded to get the exact discretization by summing up some infinite series. Earlier papers [3, 30], where the exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator was taken into account, now seem to be forgotten (as far as geometric numerical integration is concerned). More detailed discussion of the exact discretization of the Kepler motion based on the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel theory can be found in [8].

5.4 Locally exact modification of the discrete gradient scheme

Locally exact numerical schemes generalize the well known concept of the small oscillations approximation [9, 11, 12]. If a numerical method contains some parameters, we modify these parameters so that the resulting scheme is exact for small oscillations [11] or, in more general setting, we require that the resulting scheme is exact for the linearization of the considered system [9, 12]. As an illustrative example we consider the system

$$\dot{v} = -\Phi'(x), \quad v = \dot{x}, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\Phi = \Phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a given function. The case $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2 + gx$ corresponds to the harmonic oscillator with a constant driving force. The energy conservation law reads

$$\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \Phi(x) = \text{const}. \quad (5.13)$$

The discrete gradient method [14, 21, 23] for (5.12) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{v_{n+1} - v_n}{\varepsilon} &= -\frac{\Phi(x_{n+1}) - \Phi(x_n)}{x_{n+1} - x_n} , \\ \frac{1}{2}(v_{n+1} + v_n) &= \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{\varepsilon} .\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

We consider the following extension of the discrete gradient scheme:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{v_{n+1} - v_n}{\delta_n} &= -\frac{\Phi(x_{n+1}) - \Phi(x_n)}{x_{n+1} - x_n} , \\ \frac{1}{2}(v_{n+1} + v_n) &= \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{\delta_n} ,\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

where δ_n is an arbitrary positive function of $\varepsilon_n, x_n, v_n, x_{n+1}, v_{n+1}$ etc. The system (5.15) is a consistent approximation of (5.12) if we add the natural consistency condition

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta_n}{\varepsilon} = 1 .\tag{5.16}$$

Proposition 24. *The numerical scheme (5.15) preserves exactly the energy integral (for any positive function δ_n).*

Proof: We multiply side by side both equations of (5.15) obtaining:

$$\frac{1}{2}v_{n+1}^2 + \Phi(x_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{2}v_n^2 + \Phi(x_n) ,\tag{5.17}$$

which ends the proof. $\square$

In order to find the most accurate discretizations among (5.15) we linearize (5.15) around $x = \bar{x}$ (and $\bar{x}$ will be specified below):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\xi_{n+1} - \xi_n}{\delta_n} &= \frac{1}{2}(p_{n+1} + p_n) , \\ \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\delta_n} &= -\Phi'(\bar{x}) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(\bar{x})(\xi_n + \xi_{n+1}) ,\end{aligned}\tag{5.18}$$

where $\xi_n := x_n - \bar{x}$ and $\xi_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - \bar{x}$. The resulting system (5.18) is equivalent to the harmonic oscillator equation with a constant driving force, compare (3.10), provided that we identify $p_n = v_n$ and require

$$g = -\Phi'(\bar{x}) , \quad \omega^2 = V''(\bar{x}) , \quad \delta_n = \frac{2}{\omega} \tan \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2} \quad (5.19)$$

(note that the consistency condition (5.16) is obviously satisfied). Choosing $\bar{x} = x_n$ or $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + x_{n+1})$ (thus changing $\bar{x}$ at every step) we obtain two very accurate (“locally exact”) numerical schemes, compare [12].

5.5 The wave equation

In this section we are going to show that the exact discretization of the harmonic oscillator equation can be applied also in the case of partial differential equations. We consider the linearized wave equation

$$u_{tt} = u_{,xx} - a^2 u . \quad (5.20)$$

Following Bridges and Reich ([5], Section 4.2) we perform the Fourier transformation, substituting $u(x, t) = \hat{u}(t)e^{ikx}$. Hence

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \hat{u} = -\omega^2 \hat{u} , \quad (5.21)$$

where $\omega^2 = k^2 + a^2$. Instead of using standard methods (like implicit midpoint/trapezoidal schemes, see [5]) we take advantage of using the exact discretization:

$$\hat{u}^{n+1} - 2(\cos \omega \Delta t) \hat{u}^n + \hat{u}^{n-1} = 0 . \quad (5.22)$$

Thus the “numerical frequency” of the solution $\hat{u}^n$ (see [5]) coincides with ω . It leads to many important improvements. For instance, the group velocity becomes exact and our numerical scheme reproduces exactly the energy transport in wave packets.

6 Conclusions and future directions

The exact discretization of linear ordinary differential equations is a subject rather well known but a little bit forgotten. In this paper we have shown a

large number of useful properties and equivalent formulations of the exact discretizations of harmonic oscillator equation and its various extensions and generalizations. Among these results it is worthwhile to distinguish Propositions 16 and 20, with formulas (4.10) and (4.23), and Proposition 22 (the energy conservation law in a quite general case).

Our main motivation for careful studies of exact discretizations consists in potential numerical applications. This point is explained in Section 5. In particular, a new concept for modifying numerical schemes is presented in Section 5.4. We point out that this derivation of the locally exact discrete gradient method is short and much simpler than the presentation given in our paper [12]. This simplification is due to the direct use of the formula (3.10) which is especially suitable for any applications related to the discrete gradient method. Other results of this paper turn out to be very helpful in deriving and studying other locally exact numerical schemes, to be published soon [9]

A promising future direction is associated with the time scale approach [4, 17]. Its main goal is to unify differential and difference calculus. Exact discretizations also connect smooth and discrete case but in an apparently different way. We plan to find links between these two approaches. A natural possibility is to generalize the notion of the delta derivative using new difference operators, e.g., the difference operator Δ_ε , see (2.6). Exact discretizations of the harmonic oscillator equation can be also applied in the case of operators on Banach spaces (see [6]) and for some PDEs (see Section 6). In the near future we plan to study in more detail the exact discretization of the wave equation.

References

- [1] R.P.Agarwal: *Difference equations and inequalities* (Chapter 3), Marcel Dekker, New York 2000.
- [2] D.G.Bettis: “Numerical integration of products of Fourier and ordinary polynomials”, *Numer. Math.* **14** (1970) 421-434.
- [3] D.G.Bettis: “Stabilization of finite difference methods of numerical integration”, *Celestial mech.* **2** (1970) 282-295.
- [4] M.Bohner, A.Peterson: *Dynamic equations on time scales. An introduction with applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston 2001.
- [5] T.J.Bridges, S.Reich: “Numerical methods for Hamiltonian PDEs”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** (2006) 5287-5320.
- [6] A.Castro, C.Cuevas, C.Lizama: “Maximal regularity of the discrete harmonic oscillator equation”, *Adv. Difference Eqs.* **2009** (2009) 290625.

- [7] J.L.Cieřliński: “An orbit-preserving discretization of the classical Kepler problem”, *Phys. Lett. A* **370** (2007) 8-12.
- [8] J.L.Cieřliński: “Comment on ‘conservative discretizations of the Kepler motion’”, in preparation.
- [9] J.L.Cieřliński: “Locally exact modifications of numerical integrators”, in preparation.
- [10] J.L.Cieřliński, B.Ratkiewicz: “On simulations of the classical harmonic oscillator equation by difference equations”, *Adv. Difference Eqs.* **2006** (2006) 40171.
- [11] J.L.Cieřliński, B.Ratkiewicz: “Long-time behaviour of discretizations of the simple pendulum equation”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** (2009) 105204 (29pp).
- [12] J.L.Cieřliński, B.Ratkiewicz: “How to improve the accuracy of the discrete gradient method in the one-dimensional case”. *preprint ArXiv*: 0901.1906 (2009).
- [13] W.Gautschi: “Numerical integration of ordinary differential equations based on trigonometric polynomials”, *Numer. Math.* **3** (1961) 381-397.
- [14] D.Greenspan: “An algebraic, energy conserving formulation of classical molecular and Newtonian n -body interaction”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1973) 432-427.
- [15] E.Hairer, C.Lubich, G.Wanner: *Geometric numerical integration: structure-preserving algorithms for ordinary differential equations*, Second Edition, Springer, Berlin 2006.
- [16] F.B.Hildebrand: *Finite difference equations and simulations*, Englewood Cliffs 1968.
- [17] S.Hilger: “Analysis on measure chains – a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus”, *Results Math.* **18** (1990) 18-56.
- [18] M.Hochbruck, Ch.Lubich: “A Gautschi-type method for oscillatory second-order differential equations”, *Numer. Math.* **83** (1999) 403-426.
- [19] R.Kozlov: “Conservative discretizations of the Kepler motions”, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** (2007) 4529-4539.
- [20] P.Kustaanheimo, E.Stiefel: “Perturbation theory of Kepler motion based on spinor regularization”, *J. reine angew. Math.* **218** (1965) 204-219.
- [21] R.A.LaBudde, D.Greenspan: “Discrete mechanics – a general treatment”, *J. Comput. Phys.* **15** (1974) 134-167.
- [22] D.J.Lawson: “Generalized Runge-Kutta processes for stable systems with large Lipschitz constants”, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **4** (1967) 372-380.
- [23] R.I.McLachlan, G.R.W.Quispel, N.Robidoux: “Geometric integration using discrete gradients”, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London A* **357** (1999) 1021-1045.
- [24] R.E.Mickens: *Nonstandard finite difference models of differential equations*, World Scientific, Singapore 1994.
- [25] B.V.Minchev, W.M.Wright: “A review of exponential integrators for first order semi-linear problems”, *preprint NTNU/Numerics/N2/2005*, Trondheim 2005.
- [26] Y.Minesaki, Y.Nakamura: “A new conservative numerical integration algorithm for the three-dimensional Kepler motion based on the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel regularization theory”, *Phys. Lett. A* **324** (2004) 282-292.
- [27] D.A.Pope: “An exponential method of numerical integration of ordinary differential equations”, *Comm. AGM* **6** (1963) 491-493.

- [28] R.B.Potts: “Differential and difference equations”, *Am. Math. Monthly* **89** (1982) 402-407.
- [29] W.Rubinowicz, W.Królikowski: *Mechanika teoretyczna (Theoretical mechanics)*, PWN, Warsaw 1978 [in Polish].
- [30] E.Stiefel, D.G.Bettis: “Stabilization of Cowell’s method”, *Numer. Math.* **13** (1969) 154-175.